αὐλητρίδα πεζήν

By W. Geoffrey Arnott, Leeds

Photius s. v. πεζὰς μόσχους ἀντὶ τοῦ ἑταίρας ἐλέγοντο γάρ τινες οὕτως ὡς Ἀριστοτέλης ἐν τῇ Πολιτεία τὰς χωρὶς ὀργάνων Κάνθαρος Συμμαχία (= Plato comicus I.641 fr. 155 Kock, cf. Kassel-Austin, PCG IV.59) αὐλητρίδα πεζήν καὶ Εὔπολις Κόλαξι (I.305 fr. 169 Kock = V.396 f. fr. 184 Kassel-Austin). Cf. also Hesychius s. v.

Photius s. v. πεζῆ τὸ τοῖς ποσὶν ἐλθεῖν λέγουσιν καὶ πεζῆ φράσαι τὸ ἄνευ μελῶν παῦσαι μελῳδοῦσ ἀλλὰ πεζῆ μοι φράσον, ὁ κωμικός (III. 516 fr. 601 Kock). τὰς ἑταίρας τὰς μὴ μουσικὰς ἀλλ ἄνευ ὀργάνων καὶ ψιλὰς πεζὰς καλοῦσιν.

Photius s.v. πεζῷ γόῳ ἀνευ αὐλοῦ ἢ λύρας, ὡς καὶ πεζαὶ ἐταῖραι, αἱ χωρὶς ὀργάνων μισθαρνοῦσαι. Cf. also Hesychius s.v.

 Σ^{B} Euripides, Alcestis 447, χέλυν ... καὶ πεζαὶ δέ τινες έταῖραι λέγονται, αῖ χωρὶς ὀργάνου εἰς τὰ συμπόσια φοιτῶσιν.

Theopompus (FGrH 2 B. 115. F213) in Athenaeus 12.532 c, Χάρητός τε νωθροῦ τε ὄντος καὶ βραδέος. καίτοι γε καὶ πρὸς τρυφὴν ἤδη ζῶντος ὅς γε περιήγετο στρατευόμενος αὐλητρίδας καὶ ψαλτρίας καὶ πεζὰς ἐταίρας.

Procopius, Anecd. 9.11, εἰς τὰς ἐπὶ σκηνῆς καθῆκεν αὐτήν (sc. Theodora), ἑταίρα τε εὐθὺς ἐγεγόνει, οἴανπερ οἱ πάλαι ἄνθρωποι ἐκάλουν πεζήν. οὐ γὰρ αὐλήτρια οὐδὲ ψάλτρια ἦν, οὐ μὴν οὐδὲ τὰ ἐς τὴν ὀρχήστραν αὐτῆ ἤσκητο.

The lexicographical notes and the extracts from Theopompus and Procopius cited above confirm that the meaning of $\pi \varepsilon \zeta \delta \zeta$ when applied to a courtesan or prostitute was not merely one who went about her trade on foot, but also (and perhaps more significantly) one who did not back up her sexual services with any performance on a musical instrument. The dual application (and applicability) of $\pi \varepsilon \zeta \delta \zeta$ in this sort of context, it seems to me, is most likely to have been the result of some late-fifth-century pun (? in comedy) on (i) the primary meaning of $\pi \varepsilon \zeta \delta \zeta =$ 'on foot' (LSJ s.v., I.a), and (ii) its extension to verse that is 'unaccompanied by music' (LSJ s.v., II. 2, cf. on $\pi \varepsilon \zeta \tilde{\eta}$, III. 2). We cannot of course now say whether the originator of the postulated pun was one of the comic poets cited by Photius, especially since he gives no quotation from Eupolis, and only the

Glotta 68, 91-92, ISSN 0017-1298 Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht 1990 92

Geoffrey Arnott

two words $\alpha \dot{\nu} \lambda \eta \tau \rho i \delta \alpha \pi \epsilon \zeta \dot{\eta} \nu$ from the comedian he identifies as Cantharus, but is more probably Plato comicus¹). It is interesting to note, however, that the expression $\alpha \dot{\nu} \lambda \eta \tau \rho i \delta \alpha \pi \epsilon \zeta \dot{\eta} \nu$ would appear tartly self-contradictory if $\alpha \dot{\nu} \lambda \eta \tau \rho i \delta \epsilon \varsigma$ were already being contrasted with $\pi \epsilon \zeta \alpha i \dot{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \bar{\iota} \rho \alpha i$. Without any dramatic context for the phrase in Plato or Cantharus it is of course rash to speculate, but one obvious possibility would be a joke on the primary meaning of $\pi \epsilon \zeta \dot{\sigma} \varsigma$ when its secondary meaning in connection with $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \bar{\iota} \rho \alpha i$ was already established. After all, female pipers did go about on foot²).

Copyright (c) 2007 ProQuest LLC Copyright (c) Vandenhoek und Ruprecht

¹⁾ Cf. Kassel-Austin, op. cit. IV. 59.

²) Cf. also R. Porson, Adversaria (Cambridge 1812), 298; H. Herter, Jahrb. f. Ant. u. Christentum 3 (1960) 97.